

United States Patent and Trademark Office

010

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/019,087	12/19/2001	Timothy J Fischer	9250-5CTIP4XX	3082
75	11/17/2005		EXAM	INER
Robert W. Glatz			HUYNH, PHUONG N	
Myers Bigel Sib	oley & Sajovec, P.A.			
Post Office Box 37428			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Raleigh, NC 27627			1644	

DATE MAILED: 11/17/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary							
		10/019,087	FISCHER ET AL.				
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Examiner	Art Unit				
<u> </u>	The MAILING DATE of this communication and	Phuong Huynh	1644				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES IN THE MAILING DA	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE!	I. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Au	<u> </u>					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 40,43,45-49,52,53 and 56-69 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 49, 52 and 53 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 40,42,43,45-48 and 56-69 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 							
Applicati	on Papers						
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/26/05. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:							

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Claims 40, 43, 45-49, 52-53, and 56-69 are pending.
- 2. In view of the amendment filed 8/26/05, the following rejection remains.
- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 40, 42-43 and 45-48 remain and newly introduced claims 56-69 are also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential steps, such omission amounting to a gap between the steps. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted step in claims 40 and 61 is how the complex formation overtime correlates with the method for diagnosis or monitoring of a hemostatic dysfunction of inflammatory condition.

Applicants' arguments filed 8/26/05 have been fully considered but are not found persuasive.

Applicants' position is that claim 40 has been amended.

However, claim 40 and newly introduced claim 61 as written as though some steps are missing. For example, it is not clear how the formation of complex to a concentration of one or more lipoproteins correlated with the method of diagnosis and monitoring a hemostatic dysfunction comprising an inflammatory condition. It is also not clear how the formation of complex ties with the "initial complex" for the claimed method. It is also not clear how the "additional complex measured over time to provide the time-dependent measure profiles" ties with the method of diagnosis or monitoring a hemostatic dysfunction comprising an inflammatory condition "observed in patients" when the claimed assay is done ex vivo (claims 40 and 61). In addition, how is step (e) be diagnosis or monitor a hemostatic dysfunction comprising an inflammatory condition (claim 61 step e)? With regard to dependent claims 45 and 63, it is not clear how this step (d) of "correlating the measured additional complex and the measured initial complex to a total amount of acute phase protein" in the test sample ties with base claim 40. With regard to claims 48 and 65, if the "initial complex" is already correlated with the greater the likelihood of system failure and/or mortality, why need to the additional complex? One of ordinary skill in the art cannot appraise the metes and bound of the claimed invention. It is

Art Unit: 1644

suggested that applicants meet with applicants' representative to come up with the claim language that better reflect applicants' claimed invention.

Page 3

5. Claims 49, 52 and 53 are allowed.

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a).

Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a). A shortened statutory period for response to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the date of this action. In the event a first response is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event will the statutory period for response expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phuong Huynh "NEON" whose telephone number is (571) 272-0846. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 9:00 am to 5:30 p.m. A message may be left on the examiner's voice mail service. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Chan can be reached on (571) 272-0841. The IFW official Fax number is (571) 273-8300.
- Any information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Phuong N. Huynh, Ph.D.

Patent Examiner

Technology Center 1600

Art Unit: 1644

November 10, 2005

CUTERNASORY PATENT EXAMINER
THOULGHOST COUNTRY 1689